CM2021/09/16 Thursday, September 16, 2021 4:29 PM

Determinant of a second order tensor

Express the components of a tensor in a given orthonormal coordinate system:

43

Since in this case, the definition is coordinate-system dependent, we need to show that the value of the determinant is scalar, meaning that we get the same number regardless of the coordinate system.





Some properties of determinant:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{del}{dt} & ST = \frac{del}{dt} & S \frac{del}{dt} \\
\frac{del}{dt} & ST = \frac{del}{dt} & S \\
\frac{del}{dt} & T = \frac{del}{dt} \\
\frac{del}{dt} & T = \frac{del}{dt} \\
\frac{del}{dt} & ST = \frac{del}{dt} \\
\frac{del}$$

Trace of a second order tensor:

- Trace is defined through the following conditions:
- 1) Trace is a linear operator
- 2) we define

The second condition defines trace for the smallest building blocks of tensors, e.g. basis for 2nd order tensors

Assume we choose a coordinate system

$$T = T_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j$$

$$tr(T_i) = tr(T_i) e_i \otimes e_j)$$

$$= T_{ij} tr(e_i \otimes e_j)$$

$$= T_{ij} (e_i \cdot e_j)$$

Some properties of trace:

1.
$$tr T^{t} = tr (T)$$

2. $tr (S_{T}) = tr (TS)$
3. $tr (T_{T}) = d$
4. $tr (0) = 0$
 $tr (0) = 0$

Definition of inner product for 2nd order tensors (Def 34 in our course notes)

U.V. in coordinate Spriter

$$T: S = T. S$$

$$T_{1}T_{13} = T.S$$

1



A very useful side note for you:

Vector-based norm for second order tensors

If we know how to define a norm for vectors, we can use that to define a norm for second order tensors:



1.11.9 Inverse of a tensor

Theorem 76: Components of the inverse of T in a given coordinate system are:

There are a sensor exists if det FACS
Invertible to use with the det
$$L_{HL} = \{764.0\}$$
 with the formula $L_{HL} = \{764.0\}$ with $L_{HL} = \{764.0\}$

C = Cijkl ei Dej OGNORLI

$$C = C_{ijkl} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k \otimes e_l$$

$$e_i = Q_{mi} e_m^{i}$$

$$e_j = Q_{mj} e_n^{i}$$

$$e_k = Q_{jk} e_0^{i}$$

$$e_k = Q_{jk} e_0^{i}$$

$$e_k = Q_{jk} e_0^{i}$$

$$C_{mn} O p = Q_{mi} Q_{jk} Q_{jk} Q_{jkl}$$

$$M_j Q_{jk} Q_{jkl}$$

$$ijkl$$

I should have first defined polyads used above ... They are generalization of dyadic product:

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{U}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{1} & (\mathcal{U}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{V}) \\ (\mathcal{U}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = (\mathcal{U}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} - \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{1}) \\ (\mathcal{U}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = (\mathcal{U}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} - \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{1}) \\ (\mathcal{U}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} - \mathcal{U}_{2}) \\ (\mathcal{U}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) \\ (\mathcal{U}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} \\ (\mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}) & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2} & = \mathcal{U}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

•

vector

Theorem 84 for equation (*) Theorem 88 for coordinate transformation of mth order tensors

Theorem 88 for coordinate transformation of mth order tensors



m-2

We have identity matrices from m'th order to m'th order tensors