CM2023/09/13 Wednesday, September 13, 2023 9:43 AM

Inner product: Indicial representation



E U.V = U, V, +U, V, +U, V3 = U, V, - U, V, +U, V3 = U, V, -

- Definition A is ideal in that it does not need a coordinate system so clearly that value of inner product number is INDEPENDENT of coordinate system => scalar
- Definition B uses a coordinate system and to show that the value of u.v is independent of coordinate system choice, we need to prove that it's value does not change from one coordinate system to another (not the best approach)
- Whenever possible, make the definitions independent of a coordinate system -> we automatically deal with tensors.



$$S_{mn} U_{m} U_{n} = U_{n} U_{n} = U_{i} U_{i}^{\prime}$$

$$I \text{ proved} \quad u_{i} \vee : : U_{i}^{\prime} \vee : :$$

BTW, why the value of inner product u.v is equal to ui vi?

STW, why the value of inner product u.v is equal to ui vi?

$$W.V = (U_{1}e_{1} + U_{2}e_{2}) \cdot (U_{1}e_{1} + U_{2}e_{2})$$

$$= (U_{1}e_{1}) \cdot (V_{1}e_{1}) + (U_{2}e_{2}) \cdot (U_{2}e_{2})$$

$$= (U_{1}e_{1}) \cdot (V_{2}e_{1}) + (U_{2}e_{2}) \cdot (U_{2}e_{2})$$

$$= (U_{1}V_{1} + U_{2}V_{2}e_{2}) \cdot (U_{2}e_{2}) + (U_{2}e_{2}) \cdot (U_{2}e_{2})$$

$$= (U_{1}V_{1} + U_{2}V_{2}e_{2} + U_{2}V_{2}$$

SUMMM

$$u \cdot v \cdot u_i v_i' = [u \cdot u_i v_{3}] \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_3 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v$$

KittelCharles-IntroductionToSolidStatePhysics8ThEd.pdf Examples of non-orthogonal coordinate systems for solid lattices



2nd order tensors = linear operators
Assume that V and W are two vector spaces and the function L takes vectors from v in V to W

$$V \longrightarrow UV$$

If miss schuldy
 $D = H_{V_1} \otimes V_2 \otimes V = U(M + V_2) = U(V_1) + UV_2$
 $D = H_{V_2} \otimes V_2 \otimes V = U(M + V_2) = U(V_1) + UV_2$
 $D = H_2 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_2 \otimes V = U(M + V_1) = \lambda = U(M)$
 $d = H_2 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_2 \otimes U = U(M + V_1) = \lambda = U(M)$
 $D = H_2 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_2 \otimes U = U(M + V_1) = \lambda = U(M) + U(M)$
 $Example D = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X) = f(X + 1) = Z = f(H)$
 $f(X) = f(X) = f(X) = f(X)$
 $f(X) = f(X) = f(X) = f(X) = f(X)$
 $f(X) = f(X) = f(X) = f(X) = f(X)$
 $f(X) = f(X)$

Not a livear funchi

Examples
$$\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 is $\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$
 $\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$
 $\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$



ME536 Page 5



There is one remaining point to consider before proceeding on to secondorder tensors. That is, the inner product allows us to interpret a (Euclidean) vector as a linear operator that maps a vector into a real number (scalar). In fact, this is the defining property of a *first-order tensor*, and vectors are indeed first order tensors. To illuminate this point, let \mathcal{V} be the set of all vectors in some Euclidean point space \mathcal{E} . Now consider a specific vector $\bar{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{V}$, where the overbar indicates that we hold $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ fixed. We can define a function $f_{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}$ that maps a vector into a scalar by taking the inner product of $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ and any vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{V}$. That is,

$$f_{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}(\mathbf{b}) \equiv \bar{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{b}.$$

A review of the properties of the inner product shows that $f_{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}$ is indeed a linear operator. In fact, the *Riesz representation theorem* states that *every* linear function on \mathcal{V} to \Re can be represented in this fashion (by varying our choice of the fixed vector $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$)! We use a similar approach in the next section to define second-order tensors as a special class of linear operators.

FYI: non-orthogonal coordinate systems and correct way of indexing





From this point on, we are not going to be careful with up and down indices (not a big a deal for orthogonal coordinate systems)

Linear functions form a vector space themselves

A second order tensor is basically a linear map that takes vector to vectors and in general higher order tensors map tensors to tensors



ME536 Page 8

2nd 4th 2nd order order order penser tensor tensor