The balance of energy for purely thermal response is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \dot{T}+\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}=Q \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $C=\rho c_{p}$ is the volumetric heat capacity where $\rho$ is the mass density and $c_{p}$ is is the specific heat capacity, $T$ is temperature, $\mathbf{q}$ is heat flux vector, and $Q$ is volumetric heat source. The MaxwellCattaneoVernotte (MCV) modification to heat flux equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \dot{\mathbf{q}}+\mathbf{q}=-\boldsymbol{\kappa} \nabla T \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the relaxation time $\tau$ is added to Fourier heat flux equation $\mathbf{q}=-\boldsymbol{\kappa} \nabla T$ with $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ being thermal conductivity matrix.

1. (200 Points) Conservation law representation of hyperbolic heat equation:
(a) Show that (1) and (2) yield the second order PDE,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau C \ddot{T}+C \dot{T}-\nabla \cdot(\boldsymbol{\kappa} \nabla T)=Q+\tau \dot{Q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) To evaluate whether the equation is hyperbolic or not, we need to examine the possibility of wave motion in arbitrary direction $\mathbf{n}$ in space in the form $T=\bar{T}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x}-c t)$ with $c$ being the wave speed. To simplify, we simplify the problem to 1 D and use homogeneous material properties. This 1D hyperbolicity analysis carries to 2D and 3D if the material is isotropic (i.e., $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ is diagonal $\boldsymbol{\kappa}=k \mathbf{1}$ ). The 1D equation for homogeneous material is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau C \ddot{T}+C \dot{T}-k \Delta T=Q+\tau \dot{Q} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that (4) equation is hyperbolic.
(c) Show that the equations (1) and (2) can be written in the form of system of conservation laws,

$$
\dot{\mathbf{U}}+\mathbf{A U}_{, x}=\mathbf{S}, \quad \mathbf{U}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
C T  \tag{5}\\
\tau q
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{\tau} \\
\frac{k}{C} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{S}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
Q \\
-q
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that $q$ is expressed as a scalar in 1D.
(d) What are the conditions for the hyperbolicity of (5), in terms of the flux matrix A. Show that (5) is in fact hyperbolic.
2. ( $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ Points) SDG solution for the thermal problem: In the class we solved patch $p_{1}$ for the following initial boundary value problem:

| Domain |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Material properties: | $[03] \times \mathbb{R}$ |
| Initial Conditions: | $C=1, k=1, \tau=1, \quad \Rightarrow \quad c=1$ |
|  | $T_{0}(x, 0)=0, q_{0}(x, 0)=0$ |

Boundary Conditions: $\quad \bar{T}(0, t)=0$ (Dirichlet BC), $\bar{q}(3, t)=1$ (Neumann BC)

Using the solution we obtained for element $e_{1}$ in patch $p_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{1}(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) & =-3.27 \underline{t}  \tag{6a}\\
q^{1}(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) & =-6+3.27 \underline{x}+15.27 \underline{t}  \tag{6b}\\
\underline{x} & =x-2, \underline{t}=t \quad \text { local Cartesian coordinate for element } e_{1} \tag{6c}
\end{align*}
$$

answer the following questions:


Figure 1: Schematic of SDG mesh, and initial and boundary conditions.

Table 1: Vertex coordinates for the mesh shown in figure 1

| Vertex | Coordinate |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | $(0,0)$ |
| B | $(1,0)$ |
| C | $(2,0)$ |
| D | $(3,0)$ |
| E | $(0,1)$ |
| F | $(1,1)$ |
| G | $(2,1)$ |
| H | $(3,1)$ |
| I | $(1.5,0.5)$ |
| J | $(2.5,0.5)$ |

(a) Can the patch $p_{2}$ be solved in parallel to patch $p_{1}$ ? What is patch the solution for $p_{2}$ ?
(b) What patches must be solved before patch $p_{3}$ can be solved? What is the solution for $p_{3}$ ? What are $T^{*}$ and $q^{*}$ for the the facet of $e_{4}$ on the spatial boundary of the domain $(\Gamma)$ ?
(c) What polynomial order is used for the solution of the element(s) in patch $p_{1}$ ?
(d) Patch $p_{4}$ is comprised of elements $e_{5}$ and $e_{6}$. In terms of $h p$ ( $h$ element size, $p$ polynomial order) of the element briefly (less than 2-4 sentences for each question) answer the following question.
i. Can the element $e_{6}$ have a different polynomial order than $e_{1}$ without using any transition elements? How is this different from continuous FEM (CFEM)?
ii. Can we use different polynomial orders for $T$ and $q$ interpolation in element $e_{6}$ ?
iii. Can elements $e_{5}$ and $e_{6}$ have different polynomial order?
iv. Explain why the nonconforming geometry transition between elements $e_{1}$ and $e_{6}$ and between elements $e_{2}$ and $e_{5}$ can be easily handles in SDG method. Explain how nonconforming $h$ transition in SDG method is different from CFEM method.
(e) Why elements $e_{5}$ and $e_{6}$ should be solved simultaneously?
(f) For the solution of $p_{4}$ use 1st order polynomial interpolation for temperature field $T$ of element $e_{6}$ and constant interpolations for $T$ of element $e_{5}$ and $q$ field of both elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{5}(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) & =a_{1}+a_{2} \underline{x}+a_{3} \underline{t}  \tag{7a}\\
q^{5}(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) & =a_{4}  \tag{7b}\\
T^{6}(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) & =a_{5}  \tag{7c}\\
q^{6}(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) & =a_{6} \tag{7d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(\underline{x}, \underline{t})$ are the same local coordinate used for the solution of element $e_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{x}=x-2, \underline{t}=t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use average flux for the target values on vertical facet $\gamma$

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{*}(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(T^{5}(\underline{x}, \underline{t})+T^{6}(\underline{x}, \underline{t})\right)  \tag{9a}\\
q^{*}(\underline{x}, \underline{t}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(q^{5}(\underline{x}, \underline{t})+T^{6}(\underline{x}, \underline{t})\right) \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
$$

and solve for the unknowns $a_{1}$ to $a_{6}$.

