

lf

1. Material is heterogeneous (E and A not constant) OR

2. Distorted (skewed) (J not constant)

We cannot integrate K with ANY number of NC or G points in general. However, this is something called FULL INTEGRATION order in that, JUST in deciding the number of points needed, we ignore material part (EA above) and Jacobian term (J above) temporarily.







Are these values (stiffness matrices computed by NC or Gauss quadrature) exact in general?

Yes: For homogeneous material and unskewed elements, the integrals are exact.

Else: how many quadrature points are needed to integrate k exactly? Not in general, no order would do this.

Do we need to increase the number of quadrature points beyond full integration?

In general, we don't need to go beyond full integration, as the error from quadrature is equal or smaller order than discretization error (going to a finite number of unknowns)

Exceptions: nonlinear equations (plasticity, Navier-Stokes equations for fluids, ...) we may use a higher order than full integration.



Idea:

Can we use reduced order integration, meaning that we use even fewer than full integration order points?

Background for reduced order integration:

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{bmatrix}, \underbrace{AE}_{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$



1







So, it is good that for rigid motion we get a zero force



 \mathcal{D}))0 λ

ME517 Page 3



Yank = 5

Total Deformation Type: Total Deformation

04.02.2008 14:13

Unit: mm Time: 1



Same problem with reduced order integration of higher order elements



The eight-node serendipity quadrilateral with reduced 2 × 2 quadrature possesses one spurious zero-energy mode; see <u>Fig. 4.6.3</u>. This mode is often described as "non-communicable" because in an assembly of two or more elements no zero-energy modes are present.





We see that reduced order integration can be dangerous by introducing zero modes. However, it also has advantages:

- 1. Cost (e.g. 1 versus 4 quad pts / element in the example above)
- 2. Finite element solutions tend to be too stiff -> reducing the integration order can remedy this to some extent.





Reduced order integration is very good,
 but we need to always check that we are
 not introducing nonphysical zero modes

Example, p = 2, 1D bar

0-

(II) (z CAPs



2D elements

We are going to form the stiffness for 2D heat conduction problem



We'll focus on quad elements:





ME517 Page 7



Because of the coordinate transformation, we need to express x as a function of \int so that we can evaluate the integral.



ME517 Page 8

$$X_{1}(S_{1},S_{2}) = X_{1} N_{1}(S_{1},S_{1}) + X_{1}^{2} N_{2}(S_{1},S_{2}) + X_{1}^{3} N_{3}(S_{1},S_{1}) + X_{1}^{4} N_{4} (S_{1},S_{1})$$
Same $A_{1} X_{2}$

$$Same A_{2} X_{2}$$

$$M_{1}(S_{1},S_{2}) = N A = N A = N_{1}(S_{1},S_{1}) a_{1} + \cdots + N A(S_{1},S_{1}) a_{4}$$



$$X_{1}(f_{1},f_{2}) = \bigcup_{x_{1}}^{2} M_{1}(f_{1},f_{2}) + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{2}} M_{2}(f_{1},f_{2}) + 2M_{3}(f_{1},f_{2}) + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{3}} + \frac{0}{x_{1}^{3}} M_{4}(f_{1},f_{2}) + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{3}} M_{2}(f_{1},f_{2}) + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{3}} + \frac{0}{x_{1}^{3}} M_{4}(f_{1},f_{2}) + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{3}} + \frac{0}{x_{1}^{3}} + \frac{0}{x_{1}^{3}} + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{3}} +$$





$$\begin{split} & S_{1} = 1, S_{2} = 1 \\ & S_{1} = -1, S_{2} = 1 \\ & X_{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(3 + 3(-1) + (1) + (1)(-1) \right) = 0 \end{split}$$

/