An easier way to calculate first variation of a functional
Motivation consider a function from R2 -> R (a function of two parameters)


$$
f_{\partial x}=\frac{\partial f}{d x}, f, y=\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}
$$

P $\delta^{\prime} f^{\prime}=\frac{f_{-x}}{\frac{\partial e^{x}}{\partial x}} \Delta x+\frac{f_{y}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}} \Delta y=0$ at an extremum point
Back e to functinals

$U(x)$ is a final fundus

$$
\delta^{\prime} \pi=\int_{0}^{\int_{0}^{\prime} \underbrace{\alpha u\left(u u^{\prime} E A\right.}_{(\delta u)^{\prime}} u^{\prime} d x}-\int_{0}^{L} q \delta u d x-\left.\bar{F} \delta u\right|_{x=L}
$$


if $u_{1}$ is a trial funding (in this cone it's $C^{\prime} \&$ satiowis $\left.u_{1}(x=0)=\bar{u}\right)$

$$
\delta u=\underset{b}{u_{1}}-u
$$

tate denvative

$$
\underbrace{(\delta u)^{\prime}}_{\text {dervatice of imoremel } i v v}=\underbrace{u_{1}^{\prime}-u^{\prime}}
$$



Basically we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& * \int_{0}^{L} \underbrace{(\delta u)^{\prime} E A u^{\prime} d x=\int_{0}^{L} \delta u q d x+\left.\vec{F} \delta u\right|_{x=0}} \\
& \text { Find } \left.u \in \mathcal{A}=\left\{f C^{\prime}(0,1)\right) \mid f(0)=\bar{u}\right\} \\
& \Rightarrow \forall \delta u \in W=\left\{f \in C^{\prime}([0,0) \mid f(0)=O\}\right. \text { seefgue }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is our weak statement ( $\delta u=\omega$ )

## Functional Optimality condition

- For a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we observed that a necessary condition for optimality of $f$ at $x_{0}$ was, $\delta f=\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} x}\left(x_{0}\right) \Delta x=0$.
- What do we expect a necessary optimality condition for a functional $\Pi$ be?
a necessary extremum condition for $\Pi$ at $y$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Pi=0, \text { where } \delta \Pi \text { is a shorthand for } \delta \Pi(y, \delta y) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

- How to evaluate $\delta \Pi$ ?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=\Pi\left(y, \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} x}, \ldots, \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{n} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta \Pi=\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial y} \delta y+\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} x}} \delta\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{n} \Pi}{\partial \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{n} y^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}} \delta\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{n} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}\right) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the similarity to the corresponding conditions for a function $f(x): \delta f=\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} x} \Delta x=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Having a } \delta y \Rightarrow \tilde{y}=y+\delta y \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{n} \tilde{y}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}+\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} \delta y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}} \Rightarrow \delta\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{n} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}\right)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} \delta y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}\right):=\delta y^{(n)} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, noting that $y^{(n)}:=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}$, (93) can be rewritten as,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Thus, noting that } y^{(n)}:=\frac{\partial^{\frac{y}{n}}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}} \text {. (93) can be rewritten as, }  \tag{95}\\
\Pi=\Pi\left(y, y^{\prime}, \ldots, y^{(n)}\right.
\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow \underbrace{\delta \Pi I}=\left(\frac { \partial I x } { \partial y } \delta y \left(+\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \delta y^{\prime}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{n} \Pi}{\partial y^{(n)}} \delta y^{(n)} .\right.\right.
$$
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$$
f(\lambda, \eta, z,-\cdots)
$$

You can refer to the links on the course website that prove eqn (95) above and the optimality condition for functional
Useful links for energy method (not necessary to apply energy approach in the derivation of weak statement) - link Functional optimization: How an equation for first variation of a functional (egg. equations 93,95 on slide 78 ) can be derived. You clearly do not need to read this document for this course and this is only provided as a related material for students that want to understand the logic behind the derivation of equations 93,95 . - link Exact
calculation of total, first, and second variations for a simple example: In this document the total variation of the energy functional for the bar problem calculation of total, first, and second variations for a simple example: In this document the total variation of the energy functional for the bar problem is
directly calculated. The first and second variations are directly obtained and higher variations are zero for this simple functional. It is observed that the first variation is exactly the same as what we would have obtained by equation 96 on slide 78 .

From [http://rezaabedi.com/teaching/me-517-finite-elements/](http://rezaabedi.com/teaching/me-517-finite-elements/)
Example: Euler Bernoulli beam


We determined the internal energy of the beam to be (cf. (85c)),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \sigma \mathrm{dv}=\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{A} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} E \mathrm{~d} A\right) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{A} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}} z\right)^{2} E \mathrm{~d} A\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { internal } \\
\text { every }
\end{array} V=\int_{0}^{L} \frac{1}{2} E I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right.  \tag{100}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\pi \cdot V- \\
\pi\left(g, j, v^{2}\right)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\left.E x y^{\prime \prime} \quad(\partial y)^{-} \quad \| \int_{0}^{6} \delta_{y} y(0) q d x\right]=0
$$

so


Weak Statement (WS)


The weak statement for the Euler Bernoulli problem and the $B C s$ in the figure are:
Find $y \in \mathcal{V}=\left\{u \in C^{2}(\mathcal{D}) \mid u(0)=\bar{y}, \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}(0)=\bar{\theta}\right\}$, such that,
$\forall w \in \mathcal{W}=\left\{u \in C^{2}(\mathcal{D}) \mid u(0)=0, \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}(0)=0\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{0}^{L}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} w}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}} E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-w q\right] \mathrm{d} x+\left\{-\frac{\mathrm{d} w}{\mathrm{~d} x} \bar{M}+w \bar{V}\right\}_{x=L} \tag{62b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two more points:
Q1) we used PDE \& natural BC before, multiplied them by weights and after IBP obtained the weak statement. Energy method, directly gives us the weak statement. Can we obtain the PDE and natural PCs from energy methods?
Energy method to Strong Form and Boundary Conditions
We realized the convenience of energy methods in deriving the weak form in one step. They can also be used to strong form and boundary conditions by the common approach of integration by part
(divergence theorem in $D>1$ ).

$$
\delta \Pi=\int_{0}^{L} \delta y^{\prime \prime}(x) E I y^{\prime \prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{0}^{L} \delta y(x) q(x) \mathrm{d} x+\delta y(L) \bar{V}-\delta y^{\prime}(L) \bar{M}=0
$$

$$
2 I R P_{S}
$$

Two consecutive integration by parts yield:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{L}-\frac{\mathrm{d} \delta y}{\mathrm{~d} x} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\left(E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{0}^{L} \delta y(x) q(x) \mathrm{d} x+\delta y(L) \nabla-\delta y^{\prime}(L) M+\left.\delta y^{\prime}\left(E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}\right)\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \int_{0}^{L} \delta y\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} E I}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-q\right) \mathrm{d} x-\delta y^{\prime}(L)\left(M-E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}(L)\right)-\delta y^{\prime}(0) E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\int_{0}^{L}-\frac{\mathrm{d} \delta y}{\mathrm{~d} x} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\left(E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{0}^{L} \delta y(x) q(x) \mathrm{d} x+\delta y(L) \nabla-\delta y^{\prime}(L) M+\left.\delta y^{\prime}\left(E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}\right)\right|_{0} ^{L}=0 \Rightarrow$ $\int_{0}^{L} \delta y\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} E I}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-q\right) \mathrm{d} x-\delta y^{\prime}(L)\left(\bar{M}-E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}(L)\right)-\delta y^{\prime}(0) E I \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} y}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}(0)$


## Energy Method vs. Principle of Virtual Work

- Principle of virtual work or virtual displacement in solid mechanics states that if $u$ is the solution to a boundary value problem, the virtual internal and external works produces by admissible virtual displacements $\delta \mathbf{u}$ are equal.
- Virtual displacements $\delta \mathbf{u}$ refer to displacements that are zero at essential boundary values (so that solution displacement plus virtual displacement $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{u}+\delta \mathbf{u}$ (cf. (79)) as another admissible trial function also satisfies essential boundary conditions).
- Virtual Displacement/Virtual work is basically the equation we obtain by minimizing the energy function $\delta \Pi=0$.
- Similar principles (virtual temperature for heat flow in solids and virtual velocities for fluid flow) are also directly derived from $\delta \Pi=0$.
- While principle of virtual work can be obtained from $\delta \Pi=0$, it is often quite easy to directly write and equate internal and external works for a given problem.

$$
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Virtual work: 1D solid bar


Equation (98) can be written as,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u \in \mathcal{V}=\left\{v \in C^{1}([0, L]) \mid v(0)=\bar{u}\right\}, \text { such that, } \\
\forall \delta u \in \mathcal{W}=\left\{v \in C^{1}([0, L]) \mid v(0)=0\right\}
\end{array} \\
& \underbrace{\int_{0}^{L} \overbrace{\delta u^{\prime}(x)}^{\frac{d}{d x} \delta u} \overbrace{E A u^{\prime}(x)}^{F(u(x))} \mathrm{d} x}_{\text {Virtual Internal Work }}=\underbrace{\int_{0}^{L} \delta u(x) q(x) \mathrm{d} x+\delta u(L) \bar{F}}_{\text {Virtual External Work }}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the internal work differential is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} V & =F(u(x)) \cdot\left(\delta u+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \delta u \mathrm{~d} x\right)-F(u(x)) \cdot \delta u \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d} \delta u}{\mathrm{~d} x} \cdot F(u(x)) \mathrm{d} x \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
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We'll do some examples of virtual work next time

$$
u^{h}(x)=\phi_{p}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \phi_{i}(x)
$$


$a_{i} i=1, \ldots, n$ are unknowns
$\phi_{i}$ 's are called basis functions (they are denown beforehmal) $\phi_{p}$ partioctar solution

$$
\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{\dot{p}}\left(\partial O_{u}\right)=\bar{u}\left(\partial \theta_{u}\right) \\
\operatorname{Q}_{i}\left(\partial Q_{u}\right)=O
\end{array}\right.
$$

this is the way ensure that the discrete solvian satisfies all essential BCD
We have two stademals

WRS $\int_{0}^{L} \omega[\underbrace{\left(E A u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q}_{R_{i}}] d x+\omega_{\substack{f^{\prime} \\ \text { we y hay have }}}^{(\bar{F}-F)}=0$ or in general stetting $\begin{array}{ll}R_{i} & R_{i} \quad \text { we shay nave } R_{f} \text { here } R_{f}\end{array}$

Boar $\left.L_{M}(-)=[E A C)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime \text { term }} \quad$ Bean $\left.L_{\mu}(\cdot)=(E T C)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$
IBP/Gaus theorem $\rightarrow$ WK (creak statement)
Bar $\int_{0}^{L} \frac{\omega^{\prime}}{a} E A u^{\prime} d \lambda=\int_{0}^{L} \omega q d \lambda+\left.\bar{F} \omega\right|_{x=L}$


$\operatorname{Ln}$ here is $1, \sum^{\text {bal }, 1,1,1}, 11^{n}$
$\operatorname{Lnhere}$ is $\operatorname{L} L_{m}()^{\circ m}=()^{\prime}$ beam $\operatorname{Lm}()=()$ $D \in E A$

