2D models for plastic zone size

5.2.2 Plastic zone shape: 2D models

- 2D models

- plane stress versus plane <u>strain</u> plastic zones





 $\begin{aligned} & \text{von-Mises criterion} \\ & \sigma_v = \sqrt{3J_2} \\ & = \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22})^2 + (\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{33})^2 + (\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11})^2 + 6(\sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_{23}^2 + \sigma_{31}^2)}{2} \\ & = \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})^2 + (\sigma_{2} - \sigma_{3})^2 + (\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{3})^2}{2}} \\ & = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} s_{ij} s_{ij}} \quad \text{s is stress deviator tensor} \\ & \sigma^{dev} = \sigma - \frac{1}{3} (\text{tr } \sigma) \, \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$

Tresca criterion Maximum shear stress

 $\sigma_{tresca} = \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 > \sigma_{max}$



We want to use von Mises or Tresca conditions to determine the Plastic Zone Size (PZS) in 2D











This is exactly what we are doing now (instead of theta = 0, we look for all theta):



first order **approximation** of plastic zone size: equilibrium is not satisfied 175

Can we rebalance the forces

Strip yield model does that



To extend this idea, by getting an exact solution, but now allowing the yielding not only in the strip but also everywhere in the bulk, we need to use numerical solutions

Stress redistributed for 2D







Effect of definition of yield (some level of ambiguity)

Higher hardenir 197 smaller zone

Key finding whether a 1D model ry, rp, p of 2D 1 cubb or willout load balancing 2 (KH) or KIT or KIT p = (d)

Plastic zone sizes:Summary

criterion	state	r_y or r_p	$\frac{r_y r_p}{(K_I/\sigma_y)^2}$
Von Mises	plane stress	$\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_y}\right)^2$	0.1592
Von Mises	plane strain	$\frac{1}{18\pi} \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_y}\right)^2$	0.0177
Tresca	plane stress	$\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_y}\right)^2$	0.1592
Tresca	plane strain $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \sigma_3$	$\frac{1}{18\pi} \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_y}\right)^2$	0.0177
Tresca	plane strain $\sigma_1 > \sigma_3 > \sigma_2$	0	0
Irwin	plane stress	$\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_y} \right)^2$	0.3183
Irwin	plane strain ($pcf = 3$)	$\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{K_I}{3\sigma_y} \right)^2$	0.0354
Dugdale	plane stress	$\frac{\pi}{8} \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_y}\right)^2$	0.3927
Dugdale	plane strain (pcf = 3)	$\frac{\pi}{8} \left(\frac{K_I}{3\sigma_y}\right)^2$	0.0436
		C C	1 /20

194

Source: Schreurs (2012)

Plane stress vs plane strain conditions





What thicknesses are plane stress?

• As $\frac{\left(\frac{K}{\sigma_{ys}}\right)^2}{B}$ increases:

1. The plastic zone expands (load is increasing)

2. Plastic zone transitions from plane strain to plain stress



Bigger fracture process zone -> Larger energy dissipation per unit area of crack advance (that is the material is tougher)

=> plane stresss should have a higher toughness (resistance)

Toughness vs. thickness



